

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ku

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,792	11/29/2000	William J. Sequeira	600253.031	3061
61834 DREIER LLP	7590 11/19/2007		EXAM	INER
499 PARK AV			SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D	
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/725,792	SEQUEIRA, WILLIAM J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	<u>eptember 2007</u> .				
· <u> </u>	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 19-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 19-27 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the co	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date			

Application/Control Number: 09/725,792 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 24, 2007 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19, 23, and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 27, as written, does not qualify as statutory subject matter because it describes a computer program *per se*. Applicant is advised to change the language such that the claim is directed a computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program product, which is in turn comprise of the claimed code segments.

Application/Control Number: 09/725,792 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomoika et al. (6,606,748, of record) [Tomoika] in view of Throckmorton et al. (5,818,441) [Throckmorton].

Regarding claims 19, 23, and 27, Tomoika discloses a method, system, and computer program product embodied on a computer readable medium for synchronizing and propagating changes to an event comprising:

assigning means for assigning an event an event identifier (fig. 1, which collects the program guide data and parses it into individually accessible portions of event data, referred to as "framework data" and "variation data", col. 9 line 66 – col. 10 line 45);

first registering means for registering said event in a first table (the first table is the aforementioned "variation data", col. 14, lines 35-45 and col. 20, lines 28-30) wherein said first table stores the event identifier and an event trigger (col. 20, lines 11-24);

second registering means for registering interests of another event in a second table (creation of the management data in the management data storage section, col. 11, lines 1-15 and col. 18, lines 47-67) wherein said second table

stores a procedure to execute for said event trigger (such as for program shifts, if a first program is lengthened by an amount, subsequent programs on the same channel must then be altered accordingly, col. 20, lines 45-65);

changing means for changing said event wherein said change generates an event trigger (col. 18, lines 24-31, new variation data is a change to one or more event, and will include an event trigger generated by the information provider regarding the changes, col. 20, lines 11-24 and 45-65);

first inspecting means for inspecting said first table to identify said event trigger for said generated event trigger (fig. 2, which includes means for inspecting the variation data storage section 12B);

second inspecting means for inspecting said second table for said procedure to execute upon identifying said event trigger for said event identifier (fig. 2, which includes means for inspecting the management data storage section 12C); and

executing means for executing said procedure to change one of said other events in response to identifying said procedure upon inspecting said second table (col. 20, lines 45-65).

Tomoika fails to disclose said at least one other event is a subsidiary event that provides the viewer with additional multimedia data that enhances said event.

In an analogous art, Throckmorton discloses a multimedia distribution system wherein additional content is associated with primary content, said

additional content is subsidiary content that provides the viewer with additional multimedia data that enhances said primary content, enhancing the utility of the primary content stream to a user (col. 3, lines 55-67).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method, system, and computer program of Tomoika to include at least one other event is a subsidiary event that provides the viewer with additional multimedia data that enhances said event, as taught by Throckmorton, enhancing the utility of the event (primary content). The synchronization of events applies to subsidiary events because said events are time dependent upon the primary event, and any changes to the primary event must be reflected in the subsidiary events (see Throckmorton, col. 4, lines 52-65).

Regarding claims 20 and 24, Tomoika and Throckmorton disclose the method and system of claims 19 and 23, wherein said first inspecting means uses said event identifier (to identify the even being changed, such as the duration of a particular movie, Tomoika, col. 20, lines 45-65).

Regarding claims 21 and 25, Tomoika and Throckmorton disclose the method and system of claims 19 and 23, wherein said second inspecting means uses said event identifier and said event trigger (in the case of an extended movie, the movie itself is recognized by the second means in addition to the value by which its duration is being extended, Tomoika, col. 20, lines 45-65,

Art Unit: 2623

when being manipulated according to the management data, Tomoika, col. 19, lines 1-15).

Regarding claims 22 and 26, Tomoika and Throckmorton disclose the method and system of claims 19 and 23, wherein execution of said procedure modifies a data model (the program guide seen in fig. 5 of Tomoika, wherein the changes taking place are shown in fig. 4 of Tomoika).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/725,792 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DS

Domine Saturelli